City Deal	Xdinesig ^{Intel}		ltem 7i	SBCD	Portfolio Issues Log					
Programme / Project Name Director / Programme / Project Lead Name				SBCD Portfolio Management Office Jonathan Burnes						
Last Update	Risk ID	Date	Status	Q4 31-Mar Priority	-22 Issue	Owner	Escalation	Impact	Original Action	Follow-on actions & Final Resolution
SBCD003	(f zpplicable)	Identified 19/11/20	Work in progress	Medium	Description Attendance of Governance Board members and sending representations from their organisations that may not be as familiar with the SBCD.	Governance Boards	Needed (Y/N)? Y	Contributions maybe limited from all key stakeholders and representatives from their organisations which may lead to less informed decisions and discussion. Ability to make a decision maybe impacted if the correct level of representation is not in place.	19/11/20 - POMO will work with Board / Commitee members to produce a delegate list where only automotice personnel rate attend meetings and have the same level of authority POMO will share an annual meeting schedule and work with key stakeholder organisations to identify clashes in advance.	15/02/11 POMO have nod eveloped a delegate list for Governance Board members POMO to include a communication to all attendees in the Chairs note, to confirm all members have provided the or either the member or the representative to ensure they attend the full duration of the meeting. 12/02/22 Meeting attendees continue to be inconsistent and don't always have the main contact present. Remains an issue and will be monitored now all the Programmes / Projects are in delivery. 31/03/22 One-going monitoring of attendees and PoMO support outside of the board meetings.
SBCD012		19/11/20	Open	Medium	Challenging to obtain robust and timely financial information from programme / projects	Finance	N	Impacts reporting timescales and delays in submitting reports	key stakeholders for them to provide timely and quality information.	16(02)21 Finacial information received from all Programme / Finacial Lindowstance from all Programme / Finacial Report to be provided to Programme (porticial) Barda do into Committee in March 2021. 30(3)2022 Qd Finacial Reports will be delived by a month to Aky due to year end. This will reoccur for each Q4 reporting period going forward.
SBCD015		14/02/22	Open	Medium	Delay in ability to procure P3M Software impacting on ability to record and track programme / project plans, not having a live reporting process, inability to create streamlined dashboards	PoMO	N	Not able to record and track portfolio, programme / project plans via one mechanism, not having a live reporting process, inability to create streamlined dashboards	Procure a programme management software to roll out across the portfolio	31/03/221 Issues have been escalated to the CCC Section 151 Officer for resolution. PoMO are awaiting a response.
SBCD016		14/02/22	Open	Medium	No central administrative support role from 10th March within the PoMO	PoMO	N	Role will need to be covered by POMO until replacement is recruited. impacting on staff and deliverables. No central support to the POMO Leads	replacement Split existing roll amongst PoMO until vacancy is filled PoMO will work together to prioritise workload.	31/03/22 Recruitment process to shortlist and interview will be completed in April-22
SBCD017		14/02/22	Open	High	Approval of Governance Board documentation outside the timescales set out in the Meeting Protocol approved by JC	PoMO	N	PoMO spending unnecessary time chasing approval of documentation. Governance Board members receive papers later than anticipated allowing less time to digest. Also a chance of not being able to publish papers meaning they will slip to the following month due to the legal amount of time set or eleasee documents	Request approvers set aside time in their diaries each month to review documentation Rake with PortCholo SRO POM0 to tweak Guidance document POM0 to neet with S151 and Monitoring Officer during the review period to discuss process for signing off documentation.	31(03/27) Board documents are shared asp with Monitoring and Section 131 Officers. Meeting with Monitoring Officer with suggested improvements which have been subsequently implemented by POMO.
SBCD018		14/02/22	Open	Medium	Business cases not being updated and progressed to FBC stage resulting in out of date business cases and lack of information particularly relating to procurement and cost details at project level. No SBCD consideration / approval prior to projects proceeding with delivery	PoMO / Project SROs	Y	Lack of information on current status of the programme/project business cases particularly relating to costs, funding and value for money.	to request updated business cases. Arrangements for developing business cases to FBC stage outlined in Portfolio Business Case Schedule to be drafted to show FBC status and schedule.	31/03/22 No update to original action on 14/02/22.
SBCD019		14/02/22	Open	Medium	Projects not meeting agreed timeframes for undertaking Integrated Assurance & Approval Plan (IAAP) activity	PoMO / Project SROs	Ŷ	Independent assurance is not undertaken at key decision points for specific projects which could affect affordability and achievability. POMO SECG Governance Boards unsighted on delivery status of projects at key milestones	Requests made to project leads to arrange assessment meetings with WG integrated Assurance Hub to assess the need, timescale and scope of the next Gateway Review SRO training for all programmes and projects to take place. Assurance Framework developed and currently going through Governance Boards.	31/03/22 All Project and Programme leads have updated their IAAPs and have been reviewed by the PoMO and are currently with the WG Integrated Assurance Hub for review.
SBCD020		14/0222	Open	Medium	Lack of systematic independent assurance arrangements for the lower level projects as workstreams	PoMO / Project SROs	Ŷ	No systematic independent assurance process for lower level projects and workstreams. Increased risk in projects not delivering specified benefits and meeting investment objectives	to ensure independent assurance	31/03/22 Delays to approving and implementing the SBCD Assurance Framework continue as dialogue with WG take place.
SBCD021		16/02/22	Open	High	Tasing construction costs in the last few months - it has become common knowledge that the construction industry is experiencing rising costs and issues in relation to resource availability. The current issue for the POMD is the unknown quantification of the impact of this issue at a Programme and Project Level for all SRCD schemes meaning a Portfolio level impact is unknown.	PoMO	Ŷ	Potential delays to delivery, cost of delivery and quality of final product which in turn will likely affect the level of benefits realised at a Programme and Project level, resulting in a	Quarter 7/3 reporting schedule 21-22 the POMO have deviced a construction impact assessment, this document requests information from the Project Leads in order to provide a current and accurate picture of the Construction Industry Challenges faced by each Project. It has been confirmed that returns are required fee b 2022 to allow an initial position to be determined. Nil return will be highlighted as such.	31(93/22 The updated construction impact assessment was presented to PB in March 22.
SBCD022		16/02/22	Open	High	Measuring of GVA at a programme and Project level - The PoMO has confirmed that currently GVA cannot be measured as an indicator. This is due to the nature of GVA and it being a Macro measure i.e. regional, an accurate measure at a micro level e.g. proj. or prog. is not achievable	W/UKG & PoMO	N	One if the HoT requirements is GVA contribution, whilst the Portfolio will deliver uplifts in GVA it's quantification method is still unknown	UKG/WG have been tasked with confirming with their economists how this can be calculated. If this is not an achievable metric, they are tasked with determining a suitable metric (if required)	31/03/22 The appropriateness of using GVA, which is a Macro economic indicator as a measure of success at Portfolio, Programme and Project level are on-going with WG and UKG.
SBCD023		16/02/22	Open	Medium	SBCD Communications & Marketing Officer could be unaware of some key PR opportunities / planned activity for Programmes / Projects due to not having visibility of Communications & Marketing Plans in place at Programme / Project level.	PoMO	N	Missed PR opportunities, lack of visibility of key activity	Comms schedule to be standardised. Comms & Marketing Officer to work with Programme / Project Leads to develop all Comms & Marketing Plana. Once P3M Software procured, comms activity can be populated into the system.	31/03/22 Work is ongoing with project and programme leads to roll out Marketing and Comms Plans.
SBCD024		18/02/22	Open	Medium	Uncertainty as to when UK & WG government funding is to be received and award amount.	Finance	N	Impacts on disbursement to projects and cashflow monitoring. Potentially additional borrowing costs.	Ongoing dialogue with WG	31/03/22 A funding offer letter was received by the Section 151 officer at the end of March. Confirmation on WGs draw down of funding that is aligned to UKGs 10 year profile is ongoing.
SBCD025 SBCD026		14/02/22	Open	Medium	Delay in funding agreements being approved SBCD Website no longer fit for	Finance	N	Impacts on disbursement of grant. Delay to delivery of projects. Knock on effect with ability to recruit. Potentially impacting on benefits realisation. Information is inaccurate providing	Ongoing liaison with legal officers and programmes/projects Website to be reviewed and updated and	31/03/22 Funding and collaborative agreements for HAPS and Digital Infrastructure are still ongoing and could cause a delay to delivery if not resolved soon. 31/03/22
		/ 02/ 22	open		purpose			the reader with out of date information	made more accessible	This will form part of the Marketing and Comms work programme in Q1/Q2 2022/23.
SBCD027		29/03/22	Open	Medium	Assurance framework has not been accepted in its current form by WU/RG. This has resulted in delays in having a clear strategy in place for the portfolio and its constituent orgenames and projects as the direction on what level of assurance needs to be external is ambiguous and the office of Integrated assurance in WG have requested different review requirements on multiple occasions, each delaying the implementation of any assurance below programme level on every occurrence	W/UKG & PoMO	¥	Potential to miss opportunities to assure the portfolio at the most appropriate limes meaning opportunities for change may be missed resulting an engative impact or loss of potential for the benefits delivered. Loss of support for assurance activities from SICD partners and deliveres? It the requirement from WG IAH is preportionate	Proposed assurance framework in collaboration with W/UKG Dec/Ian 21/22, approved by Portolio Board Feb 22, deferred from IC approval March 2022 following WG M4 Kait dhange. PoMO updated UAP to include 24 months of activity. Meet with WG/UKG Bth April to confirm proposed approach, this resulted to lark requesting further into and previously been requested for digital infrastructure programme in order to allow forward. UAH have confirmed that all high forward. UAH have confirmed that all high hydr approach is proposed by UAH which will assess which elements of programme procurrent require assurance.	